Nutrition Review

Vitamin $B_6$ Toxicity: a new megavitamin syndrome

Ingrid Glatthaar will be presenting a nutrition review for SA Family Practice at regular intervals. Comments or questions for discussion would be most welcome.
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Vitamin megadosage has been fashionable since the 1960’s, mainly as a result of the writings of authors like Adelle Davis and Linus Pauling, who proclaimed the efficacy of ascorbic acid (vitamin C) in the treatment of the common cold and other infections.

Orthomolecular nutrition has also been a favourite topic of the lay press, and since nutritional supplements are freely available over the counter, self-prescription of vitamins and other nutrients has become widespread. Dosages of up to 600 times the Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDA) or up to 5 grams/day have been encountered.

On the whole, the intent of such megadosage is not to treat a deficiency of the vitamin, although some ‘nutritionists’ claim that certain individuals have unusually high requirements, possibly due to an error of metabolism. Usually, however, the purpose is to deliver the megadose to the tissues where it is stored or converted to excess coenzyme, which is then presumed to accelerate biochemical activity or favour certain biochemical pathways. It is generally acknowledged that any observed clinical effects of such megadosage are pharmacological rather than nutritional, and one well known example of this is the treatment of hypercholesterolaemia with nicotinic acid.

Medical practitioners have also been known to prescribe megadoses of certain vitamins for their patients, and in recent years this has been particularly true of pyridoxine (vitamin $B_6$). Gynaecologists, in particular, have prescribed pyridoxine for the management of the premenstrual syndrome since Adams and his colleagues reported that pyridoxine relieved the depression associated with oral contraception. Brush, Day, Taylor and James and Barr have confirmed that pyridoxine relieves a whole range of symptoms associated with the premenstrual state in an appreciable proportion of patients tested. Dosages have ranged from 40-800 mg and no side-effects have been reported.

Unfortunately, there is a common yet erroneous conviction that water-soluble vitamins are completely safe, since excess is presumed to be cleared from the body rapidly. The toxic effects of nicotinic acid and ascorbic acid, when given in very large (gram) doses, are now well documented. A recent study by Schaumburg et al has demonstrated the toxic outcome of pyridoxine megadosage: seven patients were described who developed a sensory neuropathy, which included progressive sensory ataxia and profound distal limb impairment of position and vibration.
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sense, following consumption of 2-6 g per day of pyridoxine, over a period of 2-40 months. All tendon reflexes were diminished or absent, while the senses of touch, temperature and pain were also impaired, but to a lesser degree. Weakness was not a feature of the syndrome and there were no signs of central nervous system dysfunction, except for a transient Lhermitte’s sign in three cases. Although most cases improved satisfactorily after withdrawal of pyridoxine, recovery was gradual and a few cases continued to have residual neurological disease.

Most of the patients began with 50 to 100 mg pyridoxine per day, usually for premenstrual tension or depression, and increased it of their own accord to levels exceeding 2 g per day (1000 times the RDA).

None experienced symptoms at doses below 2 g per day and, in all but one case pyridoxine was the sole nutritional supplement.

The above reports indicate that megadoses of pyridoxine are not safe. In such dosages the vitamin acts as a drug, not a nutrient, and medical practitioners should exercise appropriate caution in prescribing large dosages of pyridoxine. Furthermore, self-medication by patients and advocacy of this practice by quack ‘nutritionists’ should be strongly discouraged. Particular care with this vitamin is indicated in the case of pregnant women.
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Active Rheumatoid Arthritis?
Typical Response to Ridaura Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients report feeling better. Decrease in joint tenderness. Decrease in joint swelling.</th>
<th>Usually Within</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in number of affected joints. Decrease in pain. Decrease in morning stiffness.</td>
<td>2-3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased grip-strength. Decreased rheumatoid factor. Decreased immunoglobulins. Decreased Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate. X-ray evidence of decrease in erosion rate (12 months).</td>
<td>3-4 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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