Glucose levels after a meal - how important are they?  

**To the Editor:** The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial\(^1\) and the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study\(^2\) convincingly demonstrated the benefit of blood glucose control in type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) patients respectively. Following the findings of the aforementioned landmark trials, clinicians have focused the management of DM on the stringent control of blood glucose, i.e., attaining near-normoglycaemia.

The vast majority of clinicians base pharmacotherapy of hyperglycaemia on the objective parameters of fasting blood glucose, fructosamine and HbA\(_1c\). However, there is increasing evidence supporting and emphasizing the importance of regulating glucose levels following the ingestion of a meal, i.e., postprandial hyperglycaemia.\(^3\) The forthcoming discussion attempts to elucidate the potential role of PPH in diabetes mellitus.

Avignon et al.\(^4\) have demonstrated that postprandial plasma glucose levels were better predictors of glycaemic control and correlated better with HbA\(_1c\) than fasting levels. Postprandial hyperglycaemia, like HbA\(_1c\), has been correlated to retinopathy and nephropathy.\(^5,6\) Additionally, PPH, even in the absence of marked fasting hyperglycaemia, is a recognized risk factor for coronary heart disease.\(^7\) Bonora and Muggeo\(^8\) reviewed the literature on postprandial hyperglycaemia and cardiovascular mortality between 1970 and 2001, and concluded that both postprandial and post-challenge blood glucose levels were directly related to cardiovascular disease independently of fasting blood glucose. Furthermore, the correction of fasting hyperglycaemia or HbA\(_1c\) or both, and not specifically postprandial hyperglycaemia, was not found to significantly reduce cardiovascular disease in type 2 DM patients. Manderson et al.\(^9\) investigated preprandial versus postprandial blood glucose monitoring in type 1 diabetic pregnant women and concluded that postprandial monitoring of glucose may significantly reduce the incidence of pre-eclampsia and neonatal tripeps skinfold thickness compared with preprandial monitoring.

Kovatchev et al.\(^10\) studied postprandial glucose dynamics and associated symptoms in type 2 DM, and found that negative symptoms and cognitive consequences were higher during the postprandial rather than the fasting phase.

There is no evidence to support the management of postprandial hyperglycaemia alone.\(^11\) however in the light of the current body of scientific evidence it may be plausible to attempt to normalise both fasting and postprandial glucose, as the potential benefits of scientific evidence it may be plausible to attempt to normalise, studied postprandial glucose dynamics and associated symptoms in type 2 diabetes mellitus.\(^11\) Kovatchev
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TEAM-based and TEAM-driven primary care service 

**To the Editor:** We enjoyed the informative article by Kapp and Mash reporting on the role of the clinical nurse practitioner.\(^1\) The role of the doctor and the clinical nurse practitioner (CNP) in the primary care clinic and health centre is an important area of research and discussion.

The themes identified by Kapp and Mash echo many of our own findings in interviews with primary health care nurses (the equivalent of CNPs) in North West Province.\(^2\) We fully concur with the article’s conclusions regarding the need to clarify the roles of the CNP and doctor, especially the family physician, in primary care clinics, which mirror our own conclusions from interviewing both nurses\(^3\) and doctors.\(^3\)

The term “doctor driven community health centres”\(^1\) is interesting. This statement is clearly in response to the concept of a nurse-driven or nurse-led or nurse-based primary care service. These terms are often used in discussions about primary care.

Why do we use these terms in primary care? We do not see reference to a nurse-based hospital, a doctor-driven operating theatre or a nurse-led ICU.

Work in primary care, which includes first contact care and ambulatory care of chronic illness, is much too complex, busy and important to be referred to in these terms. Any health service and especially primary care is delivered by a team; the same is true for primary clinical care.

We suggest that we change the use of this terminology in primary care. Either we should stop referring to a service based on, directed by or driven by such and such a profession, or, if a correction of understanding is necessary, we should be explicit, referring to and promoting a TEAM-based and TEAM-driven service. That should guide our thinking as well as help health planners to see that quality primary care is delivered by well functioning teams.
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